

MEMORANDUM

MONROE COUNTY PLANNING & ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT We strive to be caring, professional and fair

To:

Monroe County Development Review Committee &

Townsley Schwab, Senior Director of Planning & Environmental Resource

From:

Joseph Haberman, AICP, Planning & Development Review Manager

Date:

April 5, 2012

Subject:

AN ORDINANCE BY THE MONROE COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AMENDING THE MONROE COUNTY CODE TO ESTABLISH SECTION 110-144, UNLAWFUL USES AND/OR DEVELOPMENT, TO CREATE A REGULATION DIRECTING GROWTH MANAGEMENT DIVISION STAFF ON HOW TO REVIEW BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATIONS FOR A SITE WITH A KNOWN UNLAWFUL USE AND/OR DEVELOPMENT THAT IS CAPABLE OF CODE ENFORCEMENT PROSECUTION UNDER MONROE COUNTY CODE CHAPTER 8, PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR REPEAL OF CONFLICTING PROVISIONS; PROVIDING FOR TRANSMITTAL TO THE STATE LAND PLANNING AGENCY AND THE SECRETARY OF STATE; PROVIDING FOR CODIFICATION; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Meeting:

April 24, 2012

2 3 4

5

6

7

1

I REQUEST

of the Monroe County Code (MCC) to establish a new section, §110-144, in order to provide a regulation directing Growth Management Division staff on how to review building permit applications for a site with a known unlawful use and/or development that is capable of code enforcement prosecution under MCC Chapter 8.

8 9 10

II RELEVANT PRIOR COUNTY ACTIONS:

12 13 14

11

On April 17, 2002, the BOCC adopted Ordinance #010-2002, which among other changes, amending the requirements related to processing building permits on sites with unlawful uses and improvements in MCC §6-26. The section was later renumbered as MCC §6-107.

The Planning & Environmental Resources Department is proposing an amendment to the text

15 16

At the time of this staff report, the Growth Management Division was in the process of amending MCC Chapter 6, Buildings and Construction, to abolish MCC §6-107.

17 18 19

20 21

III REVIEW

The Growth Management Division is taking steps to eliminate MCC §6-107. The rationale for eliminating the section and its provisions relates to floodplain management issues, not land use issues. Monroe County has floodplain compliance programs to assure illegal post-FIRM structures below base flood elevation are remedied. A new Certificate of Compliance Program has been proposed to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), which FEMA has indicated is acceptable to it as an alternative to "inspection upon permit". If ultimately approved, this program would result in MCC §6-107 as being unusable for floodplain management regulation enforcement.

Although normally used as a mechanism to rectify floodplain management related violations on a site by withholding building permit approvals, the provisions set forth in MCC §6-107 have also been utilized as a mechanism to rectify land use related violations. There is not a regulation with similar language in the Land Development Code. If the text amendment eliminating MCC §6-107 is ultimately approved, the Land Development Code must be amended to provide a building permit plan reviewer, such as planner or biologist, with an option to deny or fail a permit if there is a known unlawful use on the site. Doing such would not be inconsistent with the rationale for eliminating MCC §6-107, as that amendment is being carried out for legalities specifically associated with floodplain management, not land use. Further, as land use is controlled by regulations in the Land Development Code, not in MCC Chapter 6, such a regulation would be more appropriately located in the Land Development Code.

 As a note, this proposed amendment is not contingent on the elimination of MCC §6-107. If it is decided that MCC §6-107 should remain, the provisions of this regulation would be consistent with those set forth in MCC §6-107 and the regulations would not necessarily be redundant in that they would not be located in the same chapter of the Monroe County Code.

Planners and Biologists who review applications for land use issues need a specifically worded regulation to cite in order to withhold the issuance of a building permit (not related to improving a life and safety issue) on a site that has a known violation related to land use. It is inappropriate and contradictory for the County to allow improvements on a site that would facilitate or improve an unlawful use. Such approval could be viewed as a tacit approval of the unlawful use or a recognition that it is lawful. Further, the County needs mechanisms to eliminate non-approved unlawful uses that are beyond the time limitations of code enforcement. This proposed amendment uses the language of MCC §6-107 as a base. The only two notable differences are a) the proposed amendment applies to any unlawful use, not only those existing on the effective date of the ordinance establishing the section and b) the proposed amendment applies only to unlawful uses and not "improvements" which is an undefined term in the Land Development Code.

Sec. 6-107. Unlawful uses and improvements.

The term "unlawful use or improvement," as used in this section, means any use or improvement existing on the effective date of the ordinance from which this section is derived, that is capable of code enforcement prosecution under chapter 8. Except

for building permits that are limited exclusively to addressing imminent risks to property and public health and safety, no building permit shall be issued for any use or improvement involving all or any portion of a parcel of land as defined in part II of this Code that contains an unlawful use or improvement until the parcel is brought into compliance with the provisions of part II of this Code. By way of illustration and not limitation, permits may be issued for repairs and replacement of roof and other building structural components to the extent necessary to address imminent risks of property damage and to public safety and health, such as for, but not limited to, the repair of leaking roofs and damaged roofs, walls, foundation; and, violations of building, mechanical, and electrical codes. Any such permit shall contain a provision requiring compliance with part II of this Code by the date specified in the permit.

Therefore, staff recommends the following changes (Deletions are stricken through and additions are underlined. Text to remain the same is in black):

Sec. 110-144. Unlawful uses and development.

A lawfully established use means a use that has received a permit or other official approval from the division of growth management. The term unlawful use, as used in this section, means any use that has not received a permit or other official approval from the division of growth management and is thereby capable of code enforcement prosecution under chapter 8. Except for building permits that are limited exclusively to addressing imminent risks to public health and safety, the planning department shall not approve any building permit application for an improvement involving all or any portion of a parcel of land as defined in section 101-1 that contains an unlawful use until the unlawful use is terminated or is permitted in accordance with the Land Development Code. By way of illustration and not limitation, building permit applications may be approved for repairs and/or replacement of roof, other building structural components, plumbing and/or electric – however only to the extent necessary to address imminent risks to public safety and health. Any such permit shall contain a provision requiring compliance with the Land Development Code by a date specified in the permit, as determined by the planning director.

IV RECOMMENDATION

Staff has found that the proposed text amendment would be consistent with the provisions of §102-158(d)(5)(b): 1. Changed projections (e.g., regarding public service needs) from those on which the text or boundary was based; 2. Changed assumptions (e.g., regarding demographic trends); 3. Data errors, including errors in mapping, vegetative types and natural features described in volume I of the plan; 4. New issues; 5. Recognition of a need for additional detail or comprehensiveness; or 6. Data updates. Specifically, staff has found that the proposed text amendments are necessary due to new issues and a recognition of a need for additional detail or comprehensiveness.

Staff recommends that the Board of County Commissioners amend the Monroe County Code as stated in the text of this staff report.